

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,169	01/28/2004	Ichiro Ozawa	03500.015174.1	2167	
5514 75	590 01/19/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			BEATTY, F	BEATTY, ROBERT B	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	EFELLER PLAZA RK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2852		
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/765,169	OZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Beatty	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 44-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-49,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-52</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/793,130</u>. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,169

Art Unit: 2852

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 44-49,53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Schamphelaere et al. '762 in view of De Schamphelaere et al. '905.

De Schamphelaere et al. teach an image forming apparatus comprising an image forming member 23 on which an electrostatic latent image is formed, a developing means 28 for developing the latent image with a developing material including toner and carrier, a supply means 33 for supply developer to the developing means, a detecting means 37 for detecting the magnetic permeability of the developing material, an image data bit counter 53 for counting the number of pixels in an image that is developed. The developer can be supplied depending on the output of the magnetic permeability detector and/or the image data bit counter. A switch 50 switches from the image data bit counter developer supply control mode to the magnetic permeability developer supply control mode after a predetermined

Application/Control Number: 10/765,169

Art Unit: 2852

time period has elapsed (col.3, lines 36) in which image formations are made. This predetermined time period is the "running-in" of newly supplied developer material and which takes time for the magnetic permeability to stabilize as explained in De Schamphelaere et al.'762. De Schamphelaere et al. '762 teach everything claimed except switching the developer supply modes depending on the detected magnetic permeability information and specifically, if the magnetic permeability does not substantially change (difference is zero).

De Schamphelaere et al. '905 teach an image forming apparatus having a developing device which is supplied with a new fresh batch of developer material including carrier and toner. As seen in Fig.3, the output of a magnetic permeability sensor will stabilize to a value V_2 after a predetermined time period n_x which is the "running-in" period of the developer mix. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to switch the control modes in De Schamphelaere et al.'762 by either waiting a predetermined time period or detecting when the magnetic permeability sensor output stabilizes to a level value because these are equivalent concepts as taught in De Schamphelaere et al. '905.

3. Claims 50-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,169

Art Unit: 2852

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571)

272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M·F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is 703-308-1782.

Robert Beatty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

January 19, 2005